UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,966	04/12/2004	Daniela Mavor	12298/46702	3106
23838 KENYON & K	7590 10/10/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET N.W.			WACHTEL, EMILY L	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		4111	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/821,966	MAVOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Emily Wachtel	3709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	12. 2004.					
·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	v.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-117</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-117</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/821,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 3709

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-66 and 91-117, drawn to a kit and method for body decoration classified in class 604 subclass 501.
  - II. Claims 67-90, drawn to a patch classified in class 604, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require removing a color formulation as required by the subcombination nor does it require an active medical composition. The subcombination has separate utility such as a patch which would deliver medicine to the skin.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable

Art Unit: 3709

in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically

Application/Control Number: 10/821,966

Art Unit: 3709

point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an

Page 4

election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be

considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate

which of these claims are readable on the elected invention. Should applicant traverse on the

ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or

identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly

admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. If the applicant elects the invention of Group I, then it is noted that this application

contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

SET I:

A) Species drawn to applying with a stencil

B) Species drawn to applying with a transferable sheet

C) Species drawn to applying with an electrode formed in a decorative shape and

using a conductive layer

SET II:

J) Species where body decoration is permanent

K) Species where body decoration is temporary

Art Unit: 3709

SET III:

Q) Species from claim 53 select one control parameter

- i) iontophoresis parameters
- ii) pre-treatment parameters
- iii) post-treatment parameters
- iv) dye concentration
- v) type of dye
- vi) duration of application
- vii) characteristics of template
- viii) fixation of patch

The species, within each set, are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from each set, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims, from Group I, shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 91, 93, and 117 are generic to species A, B, C in SET I, species J, K in SET II and to species i-vii of Q in SET III.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,966 Page 6

Art Unit: 3709

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a species to he examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, from the

claims of Group I, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable

or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

5. If the applicant elects the invention of Group II, then it is noted that this application

contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

SET I:

A) Species drawn to applying with a stencil

B) Species drawn to applying with a transferable sheet

C) Species drawn to applying with an electrode formed in a decorative shape and

using a conductive layer

SET II:

J) Species where body decoration is permanent

K) Species where body decoration is temporary

SET IV:

R) Species from claim 88 select one treatment

i) acne

ii) wrinkles

iii) skin discoloration

iv) excessive skin coloration

Application/Control Number: 10/821,966

Art Unit: 3709

v) skin puffiness

vi) scarring

vii) dry skin

viii) oily skin

ix) imbalance of skin pH

SET V:

X) Species drawn to applying a color formulation

Y) Species drawn to removing a color formulation

The species, within each SET I, II, IV, and V, are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from each set, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims, from Group II, shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 67, 82, and 86 are generic to species A, B, C in SET I, species J, K in SET II and to species i-ix of R in SET IV.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Page 8

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, from the claims of Group II, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

- 6. A telephone call was made to Jocelyn Ram on September 20, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Wachtel whose telephone number is (571) 270-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Yao can be reached on (571) 272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily Wachtel Gunly Wachtte Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3709